ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BEVERLY BEAM) CIVIL ACTION LAW
Plaintiff) 1:CV-01-0 600
VS.	} J. McClune
SCOTT DOWNEY, ROGER	
MORRISON, DAVID	·)
GRAYBILL AND MICHAEL) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SWEGER	
Defendants	

PLAINTIFFS BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT MORRISON'S MOTION TO DISMISS

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

On or about January 16, 2001 the plaintiff filed her Civil Rights complaint.

The defendant Morrison subsequently filed a motion to dismiss. This brief is in opposition to that motion. Morrison is the favorite of favorites to Downey and the IU. Downey helped Morrison write an advertisement for the Upper Dauphin Sentinel. That was done to boast Morrison's "unloaded" miles, a real money maker. An IU driver, Mary Lutz, it has recently been learned, was not dismissed after all. She was just abused. Her run, which started at 4th Street in Harrisburg, was given to Morrison. It now starts in Halifax from Harrisburg. Meanwhile she is sent North to

Halifax. The end result is that a Harrisburg Child rides much longer in the afternoon. The purpose was simply to give Morrison a run so he could make more money. But other favors were thrown Morrison's way by Downey. Attached hereto and made a part hereof is Exhibit "A" a letter circulated to IU Superintendents in November 2000 about some of that alleged favoritism. Though this document is not an affadavit, a review of its representations has revealed that though it is grossly incomplete as a history, it is accurate. Each time anyone seeks information about those issues at the IU they confront a stone wall. The allegations against Morrison derive from the conspiracy he and Downey, and undoubtedly other IU officials, have entered into to direct business to him at the expense of others like the plaintiff. The allegations of the complaint are specific. They are incorporated herein.

II <u>ISSUES</u>

Did plaintiff make out a claim against Morrison for:

- 1.) A due process violation?
- 2.) Equal protection claim?
- 3.) An anti-trust violation?
- 4.) A state claim of civil conspiracy?

III ARGUMENT

Factually, defendants got together and decided to follow a course of conduct designed to drive the plaintiff out of business. Morrison was at the forefront of that scheme. As an independent contractor with the Capital Area Intermediate Unit (IU). Plaintiff transported special need's children for a living. Morrison conspired with Downey and others to take the plaintiff's runs (transportation routes for pay) and thus reduce her business to a bare minimum. As part of the process of injuring the plaintiff, the defendants conjured up a wheel chair van scheme. The idea was to force each independent contractor to buy two wheel chair vans (an extremely expensive proposition) and to do so regardless of need, whether real or prospectively. For someone with 1, 2, or 3 runs per day, like plaintiff, this was a financial hurdle of hiatal proportions. But for someone with many runs this was of little consequence, besides, the defendants saw that such persons got wheel chair runs through the IU even if it meant grounding one of their own vans as they did for Mr. Morrison in the case of a Harrisburg run. It is also believed that the defendant Morrison and Downey conspired to exclude black person drivers for Morrison and also to ensure the drivers for Morrison would originate their runs in the Northern part of Dauphin County to maximize miles. These actions constitute outrageous and arbritary violations of the rights of the group (or class) of small independent drivers

like plaintiff. All defendants argue that no property interest nor any liberty interest was implicated in what the defendants allegedly did. That isn't so. The whole wheel chair scheme began before the plaintiff and others like her accepted their contracts and undertook the prodigious and draining task of buying wheel chair vans. After the plaintiff bought a van, this nefarious plan had cost here and others like her, thousands of dollars. She and her husband actually purchased a 1998 Oldsmobile Silhouette van in order to comply with the game defendants were playing. Isn't the fraudulently induced commitment to an otherwise unnecessary debt and the loss of money to satisfy a misleading and unnecessary requirements of a government contract put in place for unlawful reasons directed at plaintiff, not a violation of her liberty and property interests? Plaintiff believes it is.

A.) DUE PROCESS

Defendants argue that the plaintiff has suffered no due process violation. The boundaries of what a due process violation is, must be decided on almost a case by case basis, the current Supreme Court directing that recourse to more specific violations of more specific Amendments or clauses being preferable to use of the due process clause in a general way. The touchstone of a due process violation is the arbritary or capricious application or use of government power in the absence of any reasonable government objection. County of Sacramento v. Lewis, 523 U.S.

833 (1998). Daniels v. Williams, 474 U.S. 327 (1986). The egregious misconduct engaged in must rise to the level of constitutional violations and, ideally at least, should implicate the deprivation of some other constitutional interest (such as a right to contract or a loss or destruction of property). Here the plaintiff was, like those similarly situated, cruelly and insidiously manipulate to buy at least two vans and convert them to wheel chair vans just to sit and be available in her driveway and for no other use. This was a fraud and was a deliberate stunt designed to force many small operators out (so that defendants and particularly Morrison could get business). By the time this ludicrous and totally arbitrary policy was dropped, many of the contractors had made purchases of totally unnecessary equipment at great personal expense as a price for being retained as contractors. Plaintiff was one. This planned attempt to destroy the life long business of innocent businessmen and women in order to make more money for a small group of defendants like Morrison, Graybill and Sweger is certainly an egregious application of government power designed to force competitors of these defendants out of business because Downey and these defendants knew that there was no above board, decent, honest, lawful way to make these time proven small business persons quit their proficient delivery of services to the IU short of altering, in a select way, the terms and conditions of their contracts to make it impossible for them to continue. Defendants also imply

that they aren't state actors, but allegations of their working directly with Downey (
who is a state actor as Transportation Director for the IU) is expressly made in the
Complaint, see ¶ 's 15, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 27, 33, and 36. Thus the private
defendants, are, for the purposes of §1983, state actors. Adickes v. Kress, 398 U.S.
144 (1970), Lugar v. Edmundson Oil Co. Inc., 457 U.S. 922 (1982). The
defendants' flirtation (never stated as an issue) with the defendants not being state
actors cannot be taken seriously given the allegations of the complaint as
aforementioned.

B.) EQUAL PROTECTION

The essence of an equal protection violation is for the government to selectively discriminate against similarly situated persons who, but for the deprivation would interact with the government in some way. That is precisely the case here. This is not a "suspect category" case. The small IC's constitute a cognizable distinct group. One cannot, as a government actor like Downey selectively apply in such drastic fashion one set of rules to one part of a group intending to harm them on a matter affecting a life occupation without running afoul of the Commerce Clause.

C.) ANTITRUST

The defendants also misinterpret the understandable !st Amendment concerns meant to protect private persons from soliciting policy changes from the government (even policy changes or anti-trust legislation) and consequently the defendants do not correctly apply City of Columbia, et al v. Omni Outdoor Advertising, Inc., 499 U.S. 365 (1991). Plaintiff alleges that the defendant Downey, a state actor, is working with certain favored contractors to help them monopolize the transportation of special needs children (complaint 15). The defendants are part of the favored group. Downey even spoke to third person about his plans to force the independent contractors (IC's) like plaintiff, out of business. See averments in paragraphs 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 30, 33, 35, 36, and 39 of the Complaint. The business involved does affect interstate commerce. Millions of dollars flow into Pennsylvania from the federal government to fund education programs including transportation. The IC's and the IU get tax-free gasoline. They move students on inter-state highways and the miles and routes used or abused directly impact on the miles traveled and the cost for transportation and the burden on the transportation system. Such detail need not be pled at this stage in any event. <u>U.S. v. Employing Plasterers</u> Asso. (1954) 347 U.S. 186, 98L.Ed 618 74S.Ct. 452; Crane v. Intermountain Health Care, Inc., (1980, 10th Cir) 637 F2d 715. Nor is there a required need to numerate

the Act, Michigan Gas & Electric Co v. American Electric Power Co. (1966 SD NY) 41 FRD 462. The allegations are not mere conclusions and are in fact quite specific as is plain on their face. Plaintiff has pled an anti-trust violation for defendants' efforts at monopolization and in restraint of trade. Here defendants violated both the provisions of the Sherman Act and the Clayton Act, since, they conspired and acted to monopolize and also to restrain trade. Defendants can't avoid liability for anti-trust violations simply because one party to the conspiracy is a government official. Such a situation does not confer immunity on private defendants.

D.) CIVIL CONSPIRACY

In <u>Boyanowski v. CAIU</u>, 215 F. 3d 396 (3d Cir 2000) the Third Circuit opined that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania would hold, if given the opportunity, that without an underlying tort, a state claim for civil conspiracy would not be in order. The Honorable Sylvia Rambo, in the underlying case had quite correctly held that there was no case law to indicate that a separate tort for conspiracy could not stand alone in Pennsylvania. Indeed situations are quite common - particularly under the criminal law - where conspiracy can stand alone as a charge. But that doesn't matter here though. What is evident here is that there is an allegation of an underlying number of constitutional torts (substantive due process and privileges

and immunities) and breach of contract or perhaps "tortious interference with contract," that would support civil conspiracy as a tort here.

WHEREFORE defendants' Motion to Dismiss should be denied.

Respectfully Submitted,

Don Bailey PAID#23

4311 N. 6th Street

Harrisburg, PA 17110

(717) 221-9500

November 11, 2000

Dear Superintendents of CAIU,

, . . .

The following information is being provided in an attempt to direct your attention to some serious concerns within the CAIU Transportation Program. The goal of this letter is to provide some insight into the term "Loaded" and "Unloaded" miles. It would also be helpful to you to understand how contractors <u>determine</u> routes. You will notice that <u>one contractor</u> has realized significant business growth.

LOADED/UNLOADED MILES

<u>Unloaded Miles</u> - Mileage incurred by a vehicle in completing a scheduled route, run or trip when there are no assigned pupils aboard.

Approved Miles - The total unloaded miles is not to exceed the total loaded miles. When unloaded miles exceed loaded miles, state reimbursement is reduced.

"Upside Down" - A term used frequently by the transportation staff to identify contracted and/or CAIU bus routes where the unloaded miles exceed loaded miles.

Route Assignments - The transportation supervisor gives contractors a list of students in the order of recommended pick up. Contractor determines which driver to assign to the route starting at driver's residence. Contractors could take this as an opportunity to inflate total miles by assigning routes to drivers who live the greatest distance from the first pickup.

This may explain why a help wanted ad (enclosed) for school van drivers appeared in the Upper Dauphin Sentinel, September 26, 2000. The CAIU's largest bus contractor, Roger Morrison, placed the ad to recruit drivers. This ad also states average workweek 30+ hours, overtime available. Does Morrison have lucrative routes in order to be able to offer overtime? This contractor resides in Millersburg with the majority of his work assignments in the general Harrisburg area.

Exhibit A

Morrison's company provided 3 vehicles in 1993. By 1995, it was up to 5 and at present, is estimated to be 20 or more vehicles. Why is Morrison allowed to grow so rapidly after testifying at trial for the CAIU? Morrison apparently has special access to staff, use of the CAIU office facility, computers, telephones, office supplies and secretarial assistance. Why is Morrison not hiring his own staff, buying his own equipment and being allowed to use taxpayer dollars to run his own bus company? Is this not a violation of the use of taxpayer dollars? Additionally, sources have revealed that Morrison gets to pick and choose which students he wants to transport.

In the <u>spring of 1999</u>, Morrison placed wheelchair vans in use during that current school year. All other CAIU contractors were notified at a CAIU meeting during June, 2000, that the contract would require them to purchase wheelchair vans (plus spares) before the end of the 2000-01 school year. This announcement caused some contractors to resign. Morrison had a jump on the other CAIU contractors to purchase wheelchair vans. Why would any contractor with a <u>year to year</u> contract make such a sizeable investment unless he had some guarantee or was aware of inside CAIU information?

There certainly is no real urgency for this added expense to independent contractors since the CAIU presently owns sufficient wheelchair buses, which are sitting idle at the Summerdale office and other areas of the CAIU. Some of these wheelchair buses are dormant due to one large district purchasing 4 wheelchair buses and reclaiming their students to transport during the 1999-2000 school year. Other buses were idled because another large district withdrew their students from the CAIU transportation. How many wheelchair bound students is the CAIU actually transporting?

At a recent CAIU board meeting, the transportation officer reported that at least five school districts withdrew transportation needs from the CAIU. Since the transportation budget is prepared based on student enrollment, have you requested an actual accounting of the number of pupils transported for each participating district for the school years 1999-2000 and 2000-2001, in real numbers?

Below you will find several examples of the widespread problem of upside down CAIU bus routes:

- Contractor A reported that a vehicle travels 191 unloaded and 72 loaded miles daily.
- Contractor B reports that a vehicle travels 144 unloaded and 112 loaded daily miles.
- Contractor C reports that during a <u>midday trip</u>, one student traveled 10 miles while the unloaded miles for the van was 120 miles.
- Contractor D reported daily miles of 74 unloaded and 3 miles loaded to transport preschool students to a class in Millersburg.
- Morrison was assigned a summer school route during 2000. This route was to transport students from the Paxtonia area, Central Dauphin School District, to and from a school in Hershey. Sources reveal that the driver assigned resides in the Carlisle area. From what location was the beginning mileage recorded (Carlisle or Millersburg)? This is a clear example of upside down unloaded miles exceeding actual loaded miles.
- CAIU wheelchair bus #591 route was abolished by the Transportation Department on or about October 30. It transported 4 students (3 wheelchair bound). The students were shifted to 2 contracted carriers with wheelchair vans. A Harrisburg student to be transported to Susquenita was given to Morrison, a Millersburg resident. Another student to be transported from Mechanicsburg to Susquenita was assigned to a Boiling Springs contractor.
- Meanwhile, the CAIU wheelchair bus #591 (above) was given a new run on or about October 30. The driver (residing in Penbrook) picks up the first child (ambulatory Student #1) in Harrisburg and travels to Halifax High School, unloads, then travels empty to Millersburg to transport a wheelchair bound child (Student #2) to a school in Elizabethville, Upper Dauphin School District. After unloading, the driver then travels empty back to Millersburg; picks up another ambulatory student (Student #3) for transport to Middle Paxton School, located in Dauphin Borough and

travels empty to Penbrook. This is another example of "upside down mileage". The afternoon bus #591 travels to Halifax High School empty and picks up Student #1 and proceeds north to Elizabethville to pick up Student #2. The bus then travels to Millersburg to unload Student #2 and finally travels directly to Harrisburg to unload Student #1. The Harrisburg student is in the vehicle approximately an extra 30 minutes every day. Is it wise to assign the Harrisburg student (travelling to Susquenita) to a Millersburg contractor while a Penbrook driver is sent to Millersburg at the expense of a child who spends an extra 2½ hours weekly in the vehicle.

• In a <u>field trip</u> from Oak Flat Elementary, Big Spring School District, to Mechanicsburg area, the CAIU dispatched two contracted wheelchair vans for the trip. One van from Dillsburg and a van from Boiling Springs. In the past, one CAIU bus (with sufficient capacity) had been used. It took two small vans to replace the <u>CAIU bus?</u> The contractors were paid total miles round trip from their home base for this field trip. Clearly another example of "upside down mileage". How are costs reduced, as reported by the transportation department, in cases like this?

It appears that some contractors receive preferential treatment. Care is not taken to assign students to drivers based on the student address, consequently resulting in greater upside down miles. Some contractors benefit at the expense of children riding excessive distances. These problems have been ongoing. A review of the 1999-2000 bus rosters and other data will reveal that this has been a continuing practice.

An evaluation of all CAIU bus rosters will reveal how many CAIU buses were dormant during the 1999-2000 school year and how many sat idle this term. CAIU buses (already paid for) sit idle while contractors are assigned to students at higher taxpayer expense.

After you have reviewed this information, I urge you to seek and investigate the authenticity of this letter. I hope you would place the best interest of the students and taxpayers first.

enced Drivers 1-800-4412 4394. Owner operators 12

877-848-6615 Graduate:St

ING. Full time position, ineral office duties, computer Klinger Chevrolet Inc., Sacramento. 570-682-3141 or fax ACCOUNTING/BOOKKEEPautomotive titling skills, genliterate, competitive wages. depth accounting, payroll, monthly financial reporting. resume to 570-682-8369.

9-19-TF

ELECTRICIANS needed for immediate employment. Must have commercial experience. Excellent wages and benefits. Please call Spectron Inc. (717) 932-1000.

cation, resume, copy of PA Certified substitute teacher Secondary Send letter of interest, applicert., transcripts, and Act 34 3940 Peters Mountain Road, Halifax PA 17032. Application deadline Thursday, Septem-SUBSTITUTE TEACHER English, elementary and al other subjects for secondary 151 clearances to: James Dull, Superintendent, Halifax Area School District ğ pepaeu

per 28, 2000. E.O.E.

SCHOOL VAN DRIVERS. able. Wages based upon; children. Average work weekdrivers needed to transoort special needs children and pre-school aged group

30+ hours, overtime availexperience. Earn \$8-\$10 hourly. No CDL required. 5-7 weeks off summer months. pround checks and physicals. Call Morrison & Sons Inc. evenings (717) 692-4222, Company pays for all back-Services Jays (717) 576-1831. ransportation Ę

9-26-11 Part time SALES HELP. Wednesday evening & 3.Saturdays per mo. 365-3101.

pany. Must be reliable. Some experience helpful. Please FULL TIME HELP wanted by property maintenance comcall 896-3707 after 6:30 p.m.

9-26-21

tion requires willingness to ties include cleaning and available 12/27/00 at the maintenance of:building and SUBSTITUTE CUSTODIANS NEEDED: Full time position Williams Valley School: Disrict, Tower City. Responsibiligrounds, snow removal and security of building. Salary \$8 per hour plus benefits. Posiwork additional hours every 2nd Shift CUSTODIAN

9-26-2p

Substitute custodians needed for all shifts. Salary \$7.75 per able at the District Office or Applications will be accepted hour. Applications are avail-717-647-2167. until positions are filled. 3rd Saturday. by calling

.. 9-26-31

son to clean offices in Millersburg, 3-5 hours, 5 nights/ week are considered figures and flexible hours. Call 938-CLEANING/JANITORIAL PERSON. Dependable perweek after 5 p.m.: Good pay 1344.

9-26-11 Immediate openings now for home delivery routes in the Elizabethville area. Earn great profits for a few hours Call Sheryl Leggore, 1-800-692-7207 ext. 8421 for more information. Don't miss this work early in the morning. **NEWSPAPER DELIVERY**

ions Home Lingerie & Sleep. ware shows. Earn \$25/hour! kii. Call Sharon 717-642-8775 NOW HIRING: Petra Fash Free training! Free start-up

1. My 13.

have a clean DMV record, be very safety oriented, and Contact. Randy Witmer at 15. House, 454 Property 9-26-21 attentive to details. We offer a competitive wage, holidays, vacation, insurance and 401K. Full time or part time. Koppy's, Propane for, applicaendorsements. Also must lion, (717),647-7111. individuals with excellent cus-PART TIME TELLERS Banks, N.A., Millersburg and tomer service skills to work approximately, 16 to 20 hours tion and in-house training Community Halifax offices are seeking per week including Saturday professional, team-oriented mornings. We offer competing live wages, 401(k), paid vaca-NEEDED.

insurance, and 401K. Full time or part time. Contact Randy Witmer at Koppy's driver for bulk truck deliveries. ments. Also, must have clean OBIVER: Koppy's Propane Incibis growing and needs details. We offer a competi-Must have 2, years experimence with Class B, Haz-Mat, air brake and tanker endorse-DMV-record, be very safety roriented and attentive to Propane for application (717) with the ability to advance within the organization. Stop in at either office for an application E.O.E. M/F/H/V 3. 9-26-11

\$125-\$175 an hour. FULL 'tive-wage, holidays, vacation, COMPUTER, INTERNET es and incentives, Bi-linguals also needed, 4 countries, people wanted to work online. TRAINING. Vacations, bonus-FREE E-BOOK: www.Profit-のでは、 PC.net

9-26-PennScan

The Print of the Spring

of Carried Office

DRIVER Koppy's Propane MANAGER OPPORTUNI-Sorver for tractor trailer. Must seasonal manager openings shaye 22 years tractor trailer in a mall near you. Easy train-sexperience Class A with hing programs, competitive 40% % Inc. is growing and needs TIES -HICKORY FARMS has employee discount. Call pounses, 800-228-8229 EOE. Haz-Mat, air brake and tanker salary, . 12-1-2

TRANSPORT Coast to Coast Runs, Teams Start up,to246c2 \$1000 Sign-On Bonus for Exp. Co. Drivers for experis

-COVENANT

Drivers

BIO The Upper Dauphin Sentinel • September 26, 2000

9-26-PennScan

FRIENDLY TOYS & GIFTS has openings for party plan demonstrators & managers! Home Decor, Gifts, Toys, Christmas. Earn. cash, trips, 9-26-PennScan recognition. Free catalog. Information 1-800-488-4875.

CAREER OPPORTUNITY. Earn Up To \$45,000 per year processing medical Claims. Full Trianing provided, Com-9-26-PennScan puter required. Call Titan Toll free! 888-660-6693 ext. 4317 .

Government 449-4625 Ext. 5900. \$48 Make money Helping People. recorded message) 1-800-Refunds, free details! (24 hr. \$925 WEEKLY POTENTIAL Department 9.26-21 investment Receive

computer/modem. Call 888 Translation 3-56-PennScan 9-26-PennScan Dr's need people to process Model KW tractors, great MEDICAL: Earning potently claims. We train. Mustiown up to \$20,000-\$45,0000 537-1638 × 804. 一级数据 dents: 1-800-338-6428:近 Dave or Jennifer today 800-201-4782 SAME: DAY RELOAD Must have 1 yr. OTR DRIVERS NEEDED miles. 99% no touchif

\$505 WEEKLY: POTENTIAL required 1-800-748-57,16/Ex parttime. No experience Working for the Government 9-26-PennSca X108. Investment \$35-\$85.

9-26-PennScan More Help Wanted - Next Page The state of the s

INSURANCE 日日 日本の日本 上の

market demand, we need licensed agents Due to the HMO disenrollments and they to distribute our products

- Quality & competitive products

 - Advance Commissions

Great opportunity now and for the future

SALES POSITION

Medicare Supplement Agents Needer

1000

- Independent Agency
- Daytime hours
- Leads! Leads! And more leads!!

Call 692-2474 to make appointment

Must apply in person

in Millersburg

T-0-0

Call Cindy 1-800-642-3490

9-26-21

10. 0.00 re-26-2t

reat opportunity.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 18th DAY OF APRIL 2001, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Document was served upon the following counsel of record by United States Mail, postage prepaid:

Melinda B. Kauffman, Esquire Stock and Leader 35 S. Duke Street P.O. Box 5167 York, PA 17405-5167

Steven Schiffman, Esquire Serratelli, Schiffman, Brown and Calhoun, P.C. 2080 Linglestown Road Harrisburg, PA 17110

Daniel P. Altland, Esquire Mette, Evans and Woodside 3401 N. Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17110

> Don Bailey ID# 23786 4311 N. 6th Street Harrisburg, PA 17110

(717) 221-9500